arXiv:1704.00262v2 [math.CA] 4 Apr 2017

Solutions and ϵ-approximate solution of dynamic equation on time scale

Syed Abbas

School of Basic Sciences, Indian Institute of Technology Mandi,
Kamand (H.P.) - 175 005, India.
Email: abbas@iitmandi.ac.in

Abstract : In this paper, we are interested in establishing the Picard Lindelöf theorem for dynamic equations on time scale. We present a simple proof which is easy to follow. The proof is produced by using convergence and Weierstrass M-test. Furthermore, we show that the Lispchitz condition is not necessary for uniqueness. The existence of $\epsilon-$approximate solution is established under suitable assumptions.

Key Words: Weierstrass M-test, uniform convergence, Picards iteration, ϵ-approximate solution.

Mathematical Subject Classification:34N05, 26E70, 34A12.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we study the following dynamic equation

$$x^{\Delta}(t) = f(t, x(t)), \quad x(t_0) = x_0, \quad t \in [a, b] \cap T, \tag{1.1}$$

where Δ is delta derivative, T is a time scale and f is a rd-continuous function defined on $[a, b]\cap T\times\mathbb{R}$. We prove Picard Lindelöf theorem for dynamic equation on time scale using the assumption of rd-continuity and Lipsctiz and then establish existence of $\epsilon-$approximate solution. A solution of the above equation means a delta differentiable function which satisfies (1.1).

The theory of time scale calculus was introduced in the year 1988 by the mathematician Stefan Hilger [12]. This new theory unifies the calculus of the theory of difference equations with that of differential equations. It combines the analysis for integral and differential calculus with the calculus of finite differences. It gives a way to study hybrid discrete-continuous dynamical systems. It has good applications in any field that requires simultaneous modelling of discrete and continuous data, so dynamic equations on a time scale have a potential for applications. In the population dynamics, the insect population can be better modelled using time scale. The reason behind this is that they evolve continuously while in season, die out in winter while their eggs are incubating or dormant, and then hatch in a new season, giving rise to a non-overlapping population.

Lots of excellent books, monographs and research papers are available in this field majorally contributed by Martin Bohner, Allan Peterson, Lynn Erbe, Ravi P. Agarwal, Samir H. Saker,

Zhenlai Han, Qi Ru Wang, Youssef N. Raffoul and many more, we refer to [1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 15, 16, 17] and references therein. The book [4] presents a complete discussion on time scale calculus. A very nice survey article is written by Agarwal et.al. [2]. For results on ordinary differential equations, we refer the book by Shair Ahmad and Rao [3], which is a nice book for qualitative theory of ordinary differential equations. A linearlization method and topological classification for equations on time scale is discussed by Yonghui Xia et.a. in [18, 19]. The paper [8], author investigate present a counterexample to Peanos Theorem on a time scale with only one right dense point.

2 Preliminaries

A time scale T is a nonempty subset of the real line $\mathbb{R}$. The forward jump operator $\sigma(t)$ is define by $\sigma(t) = \inf\{s \in T : s > t\}$. The right dense point is defined be a point t when $t < \sup T$ and $\sigma(t) = t$. It is called right scattered if $\sigma(t) > t$. Similarly the backward jump operator $\rho(t)$ is define by $\rho(t) = \sup\{s \in T : s < t\}$. So a left dense point is defined by the points such that $t > \inf T$ and $\rho(t) = t$. It is called left scattered if $\rho(t) < t$. The symbols $T^k = T \setminus \{m\}$ or $T_k = T \setminus \{m\}$ if T has a left scattered maximum or right scattered minimum m, otherwise $T^k = T_k = T$.

Definition 2.1. *A function $g : T \to \mathbb{R}$ is called rd-continuous if it is continuous at right dense points of T and its left-side limits exist at left dense points.*

If f is continuous at each right dense point and each left dense point, then f is called continuous on T.

Definition 2.2. *For a function $g : T \to \mathbb{R}$, $t \in T^k$, the delta derivative is defined as a function $f^\Delta(t)$, such that for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a neighbourhood U os t with the property*

$$|f(\sigma(t) - f(s)) - f^\Delta(t)(\sigma(t) - s)| \leq \epsilon|\sigma(t) - s|,$$

for all $s \in U$.

Definition 2.3. *The delta integral is defined as the antiderivative with respect to the delta derivative. If $F(t)$ has a continuous derivative $f(t) = F^\Delta(t)$, then $\int_r^t f(s)\Delta s = F(t) - F(r)$.*

Definition 2.4. *The set $Reg = \{p : T \to R : p \in C_{rd}(T, \mathbb{R}),\ 1 + p(t)\mu(t) \neq 0\}$ defines the set of regressive functions, where $\mu(t) = \sigma(t) - t$.*

For $p \in Reg$, the exponential function $e_p(\cdot, t_0)$ is defined as the unique solution of $x^\Delta = p(t)x,\ x(t_0) = 1$. Further Reg^+ is corresponds to $1 + \mu(t)p(t) > 0$, and for $p \in Reg^+,\ e_p(\cdot, t_0) > 0$. Now we define the concept of $\epsilon-$approximate solution for equation (1.1). Let Ω be any compact subset of $[a, b] \cap T \times \mathbb{R}$.

Definition 2.5. *A function $x(t)$ is called $\epsilon-$approximate solution of equation (1.1) if*

(i) $(t, x(t)) \in \Omega, t \in [a, b] \cap T$.

(ii) $x^\Delta(t) \in C^1_{rd}$ *on* $[a, b] \cap T$ *except possibly on a finite set S (or a set of measure zero), where x^Δ may have simple discontinuities.*

(iii) $\|x^{\Delta}(t) - f(t, x(t))\| \leq \epsilon$ *for each* $t \in [a, b] \cap T \backslash S$.

Lemma 2.1. *(Weierstrass M-test) Suppose that* $\{\phi_n\}$ *is a sequence of real or complex-valued functions defined on a set* A*, and that there is a sequence of positive numbers* $\{M_n\}$ *satisfying* $\forall n \geq 1, \forall x \in A : \ |f_n(x)| \leq M_n, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$*. Then the series* $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$ *converges absolutely and uniformly on* A*.*

Lemma 2.2. *[4, 5] Let* J *be a arbitrary compact subset of* T *and* $\{x_n\}_{n\in N}$ *is a sequence on* J *such that* $\{x_n\}_{n\in N}$ *and* $\{x_n^{\Delta}\}_{n\in N}$ *are uniformly bounded on* J*. Then, there exists a subsequence* $\{x_{n_k}\}_{n_k\in N}$ *which converges uniformly on* J*.*

Lemma 2.3. *[14] Let* $\{x_n(t)\}$ *converges uniformly to* $x(t)$ *on* $[a, b] \cap T$ *and each* $x_n(t)$ *is continuous on* $[a, b] \cap T$*. Then the function* $x(t)$ *is continuous on* $[a, b] \cap T$ *and*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{[a,b]\cap T} x_n(s)\Delta s = \int_{[a,b]\cap T} \lim_{n\to\infty} x_n(s)\Delta s = \int_{[a,b]\cap T} x(s)\Delta s.$$

Lemma 2.4. *[14] Let* $\{x_n(t)\}$ *converges uniformly to* $x(t)$ *on* $[a, b] \cap T$ *and for each* $n \in N$*,* $x_n(t)$ *has continuous delta derivative* $x_n^{\Delta}(t)$*. Moreover if* $x_n^{\Delta}(t)$ *converges uniformly to* $y(t)$*, then* $x^{\Delta}(t) = y(t)$*, and* $x_n(t)$ *converges to* $x(t)$ *uniformly on* $[a, b] \cap T$*.*

3 Main results

The integral form of equation (1.1) is

$$x(t) = x_0 + \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} f(s, x(s))\Delta s.$$

Let us define $D = \{(t, x) : t \in [t_0 - a, t_0 + a] \cap T, \ |x - x_0| \leq b\}$

Theorem 3.1. *(Picard Lindelöf) Let* f *be a function from* D *to* $\mathbb{R}$*, rd-continuous wrt to* t *and Lipschitz with Lipschitz constant* L *wrt* x *and let* $1 + \mu L > 0$*. Furthermore, if an initial point is not a right dense point of* T*, then there exists* $h = \min\{a, \frac{b}{M}\}$*, such that the problem has a unique solution in the interval* $[t_0 - h, t_0 + h] \cap T$*.*

Proof. We define the Picards's iteration

$$\begin{aligned}
\phi_0(t) &= x_0 \\
\phi_1(t) &= x_0 + \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} f(s, \phi_0(s))\Delta s \\
&\ \ \vdots \\
\phi_n(t) &= x_0 + \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} f(s, \phi_{n-1}(s))\Delta s \\
&\ \ \vdots
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

It is enough to prove the result in the interval $[t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$. Now we prove the above theorem in four steps.

Step-1: (Wellposedness) First we need to show that $(t, \phi_n(t)) \in D$, when $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$. For $n = 1$, $|\phi_1(t) - x_0| \leq \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} |f(s, \phi_0(s))|\Delta s$. But since $(t, \phi_0(t)) \in D$, we get continuity of $f(t, \phi_0(t))$ on D, which implies boundedness. Hence $|\phi_1(t) - x_0| \leq \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} M\Delta s \leq M|t - t_0| \leq Mh \leq b$. Now assume that for $n - 1$ and then we prove for n, we can then apply the principal of mathematical induction. Now for $\phi_n(t)$, we have

$$|\phi_n(t) - x_0| \leq \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} |f(s, \phi_{n-1}(s))|\Delta s.$$

By assumption, we have $(t, \phi_{n-1}(t)) \in D$, we have boundedness of $f(t, \phi_{n-1}(t))$. Thus, we obtain

$$|\phi_n(t) - x_0| \leq \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} M\Delta s \leq M|t - t_0| \leq Mh \leq b.$$

Hence $(t, \phi_n(t)) \in D$ for each $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$.
Step-2: (Estimate) We want to show here that $|\phi_n(t) - \phi_{n-1}(t)| \leq \frac{M}{n!L} L^n (t - t_0)^n$. Again we use mathematical induction to establish this result. It is easy to verify for $n = 1$. For n, let us compute,

$$\begin{aligned} |\phi_n(t) - \phi_{n-1}(t)| &\leq \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} |f(s, \phi_{n-1}(s) - f(s, \phi_{n-2}(s)|\Delta s \\ &\leq L \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} |phi_{n-1}(s) - \phi_{n-2}(s)|\Delta s \\ &\leq L \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} \frac{M}{n!L} L^{n-1} (s - t_0)^{n-1} \Delta s \\ &\leq \frac{M}{n!L} L^n (t - t_0)^n \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Step-3: (Convergence) We can write $\phi_n(t) = x_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\phi_i(t) - \phi_{i-1}(t))$. Using the above estimate, we obtain $|\phi_n(t) - \phi_{n-1}(t)| \leq \frac{M}{n!L} (Lh)^n$ for $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$. Notice that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{M}{n!L} (Lh)^n$ is bounded by exponential, hence the series $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\phi_n(t) - \phi_{n-1}(t))$ converges uniformly and absolutely on $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$. Hence $\phi_n(t)$ converges absolutely and uniformly to a function $\phi(t)$. Now taking limit on both side of integral equation, we obtain

$$\phi(t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \phi_n(t) = x_0 + \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} f(s, \phi_{n-1}(s))\Delta s.$$

Since f is continuous as the convergence is uniform on $[t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$, we can take the limit inside the integral, which gives $\phi(t) = x_0 + \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} \lim_{n\to\infty} f(s, \phi_{n-1}(s))\Delta s$. Since f is Lipschitz, we get $\lim_{n\to\infty} f(t, \phi_n(t)) = f(t, \phi(t))$. Thus $\phi(t)$ satisfies $\phi(t) = x_0 + \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} f(s, \phi(s))\Delta s$.
Step-4: (Uniqueness) Let ϕ, ψ are two solutions. Define $\Phi(t) = |\phi(t) - \psi(t)|$, it is easy to see here that $\Phi(t_0) = 0$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &\leq \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} |f(s, \phi(s)) - f(s, \psi(s))|\Delta s \leq L \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} |\phi(s) - \psi(s)|\Delta s \leq L \int_{[t_0,t]\cap T} \Phi(s)\Delta s \\ &\leq L \int_{[t_0,\sigma(t)]\cap T} \Phi(s)\Delta s. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$\Phi(t) - L\int_{[t_0,\sigma(t)]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s \leq 0.$$

Since $L > 0$, we have $1 + \mu L > 0$, which further implies $\frac{1}{1+\mu L} > 0$. Now multiplying the above equation by $\frac{1}{1+\mu L} > 0$, we obtain

$$\frac{\Phi(t)}{1+\mu L} - \frac{L}{1+\mu L}\int_{[t_0,\sigma(t)]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s \leq 0.$$

We know that $e_{-\frac{L}{1+\mu L}}(t;t_0) = e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0) > 0$ as $L \in \mathbb{R}^+$. Further multiplying both side by $e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0)$ yields

$$e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0)\frac{\Phi(t)}{1+\mu L} - \frac{Le_{\ominus L}(t;t_0)}{1+\mu L}\int_{[t_0,\sigma(t)]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s \leq 0.$$

Rewriting, we obtain

$$\Big(e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0)\int_{[t_0,t]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s\Big)^{\Delta} \leq 0,$$

which further implies $\Phi(t) \leq 0$. Since $t > t_0$, we have

$$e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0)\int_{[t_0,t]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s \leq e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0)\int_{[t_0,t_0]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s = 0.$$

As $e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0) > 0$, we have $\int_{[t_0,t_0]\cap T}\Phi(s)\Delta s \leq 0$, which implies $\Phi(t) \leq 0$. Now we know by definition $\Phi(t) \geq 0$, hence $\Phi(t) = 0$, which finally gives $\phi(t) = \psi(t)$ for all $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$. □

Remark 4. *The condition $1 + \mu L > 0$ is important since if we choose $\mu = \frac{1}{L-1}$, for $L > 1$. These choices yields $1 + \frac{L}{L-1} = -\frac{1}{L-1}$, which is negative and this may force $e_{\ominus L}(t;t_0) < 0$. In this case, we may need a different proof.*

To show that in many situations Lispchitz condition is not necessary for uniqueness, let us consider

$$x^{\Delta} = f(x),\ x(t_0) = x_0,$$

where $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is positive and continuous for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. To see the uniqueness, let us define $F(x) = x_0 + \int_{[t_0,t_0]\cap T}\frac{1}{f(s)}\Delta s$. Let us denote $\lim_{x\to\pm\infty}F(x) = l_{\pm}$, then F is one-one onto from $\mathbb{R} \to (l_-, l_+)$. Moreover F is continuously differentiable and $F^{\Delta}(x) > 0$. This observation implies the existence of a rd-continuously differentiable inverse from $G : (l_-, l_+) \to \mathbb{R}$. The observation $G^{\Delta}(t) = \frac{1}{F^{\Delta}(F^{-1}(t))} = f(F^{-1}(t)) = f(G(t))$ yields that G is a solution of our equation. Now let us assume that H is any other solution from (t_0, x_0), which gives $\frac{H^{\Delta}(t)}{f(H(t))} = 1 = (F(H(t)))^{\Delta}$ for all $t \in [a, b] \cap T$. Hence $F(H(t)) = t + c$, so $t_0 + c = F(H(t_0)) = F(x_0) = t_0$, which implies $c = 0$. The above analysis yields $F(G(t)) = F(H(t)) = t$, and since F is one-one, we obtain $G = H$. Hence we achieve uniqueness without using Lipschitz.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $f(t,x)$ is rd-continuous on $B_0 = \{(t,x) : t \in [t_0, t_0 + a] \cap T,\ |x - x_0| \leq b\}$. Let $M = \max_{B_0}|f(t,x)|, h = \min\{a, \frac{b}{M}\}$. Then for $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an $\epsilon-$approximate solution of (1.1) on $[t_0, t_0 + h] \cap T$.*

Proof. Since the function $f(t,x)$ is rd-continuous on a compact set B_0, we can claim uniform continuity on this set. Hence for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f(t,x) - f(s,y)| \leq \epsilon,$$

whenever $|t-s| \leq \delta$ and $|x - x_0| \leq \delta$, for $(t,x),(s,y) \in B_0$. The interval $[t_0, t_0+h] \cap T$ can be divided into n subintervals

$$t_0 < t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = t_0 + h,$$

where each subinterval is taken as intersection with the set T. It is easy to note that $\max |t_k - t_{k-1}| \leq \min\{\delta, \frac{\delta}{M}\}$. Now we define a function $x(t)$ such that

$$x(\sigma(t)) = \begin{cases} x_0, & t = t_0 \\ x(t_{k-1}) + (\sigma(t) - t_{k-1}) f(t_{k-1}, x(t_{k-1})), & t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k] \cap T, \end{cases} \qquad k = 1, 2, \cdots n.$$

It is easy to verify that $x(t)$ is rd-continuously differentiable function on the interval $[t_0, t_0+h] \cap T$. Further

$$|x(t) - x(s)| \leq M|t-s|, \quad t, s \in [t_0, t_0+h] \cap T.$$

Now for $t \in (t_{k-1}, t_k) \cap T$, it follows that $|x(t) - x(t_{k-1})| \leq \delta$. Combining all the above arguments, we have

$$|x^{\Delta}(t) - f(t, x(t))| = |f(t_{k-1}, x(t_{k-1})) - f(t, x(t))| \leq \epsilon,$$

which implies the existence of $\epsilon-$approximate solution. □

Remark 5. *For $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the same proof will work by replacing modulus by norm.*

Example 6. *Consider the following equation on T, $x^{\Delta} = \sqrt{x},\ x(0) = 0$. If $T = \mathbb{R}$, then equation becomes $x' = \sqrt{x},\ x(0) = 0$, which has more than one solution, namely $x(t) = 0$ and $x(t) = \frac{t^2}{4}$ and combination of theses. Further when $T = \mathbb{Z}$, the equation becomes $\Delta x = \sqrt{x},\ x(0) = 0$, we have $x = 0$ is solution. This suggests that Lipschitz condition is required for uniqueness. At the same time if we drop Lipsctiz then we have at least one solution. Similarly for $T = \mathbb{N}$, Cantor set etc such that $\mu > 0$, we have unique solution. For the time scale $T^q = \{q^n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{0\}$ such that $q > 0$, then $x^{\Delta} = \sqrt{x},\ x(0) = 0$ has unique solution $x = 0$. So, we conclude that the condition of the Picard Lindelöf is sufficient but not necessary for the existence of unique solution on any time scale T. Also the nature changes when we move from $\mathbb{R}$ to any other time scale.*

References

[1] Agarwal, Ravi P. and Bohner, Martin Basic calculus on time scales and some of its applications. Results Math., 35 (1999): 3-22.

[2] Agarwal, Ravi P.; Bohner, Martin; O'Regan, Donal; Peterson, Allan. Dynamic equations on time scales: a survey. J. Comput. Appl. Math. 141 (2002), no. 1-2, 1-26.

[3] Ahmad, Shair; Rama Mohana Rao, M. Theory of ordinary differential equations. With applications in biology and engineering. Affiliated East-West Press Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, 1999. viii+335 pp. ISBN: 81-85938-94-6.

[4] Bohner, Martin; Peterson, Allan. Dynamic equations on time scales. An introduction with applications. Birkhauser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2001. x+358 pp. ISBN: 0-8176-4225-0.

[5] Bohner, Martin; Guseinov, Gusein; Peterson, Allan. Introduction to the time scales calculus. Advances in dynamic equations on time scales, 1-15, Birkhauser Boston, Boston, MA, 2003.

[6] Bohner, Martin; Erbe, Lynn; Peterson, Allan. Oscillation for nonlinear second order dynamic equations on a time scale. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 301 (2005), no. 2, 491-507.

[7] Bohner, Martin and Peterson, Alan. First and second order linear dynamic equations on time Scales. J. Differ. Eqns. Appl., 7 (2001): 767-792.

[8] Cichoń, Mieczyslaw; A note on Peanos Theorem on time scales. Applied Mathematics Letters., 23(10), (2010), 1310-1313.

[9] Erbe, Lynn; Hassan, Taher; Peterson, Allan. Oscillation criteria for forced second-order functional dynamic equations with mixed nonlinearities on time scales. Adv. Dyn. Syst. Appl. 5 (2010), no. 1, 61-73.

[10] Erbe, Lynn; Hassan, Taher S.; Peterson, Allan. Oscillation of third order functional dynamic equations with mixed arguments on time scales. J. Appl. Math. Comput. 34 (2010), no. 1-2, 353-371.

[11] Erbe, Lynn; Hassan, Taher S.; Peterson, Allan. Oscillation of third order nonlinear functional dynamic equations on time scales. Differ. Equ. Dyn. Syst. 18 (2010), no. 1-2, 199-227.

[12] Hilger, Stefan. Ein Makettenkalkul mit Anwendung auf Zentrumsmannigfaltigkeiten. Universitt Wurzburg, 1998.

[13] Kaufmann, Eric R.; Raffoul, Youssef N. Positive solutions for a nonlinear functional dynamic equation on a time scale. Nonlinear Anal. 62 (2005), no. 7, 1267-1276.

[14] Li, Yongkun; Wang, Chao. Uniformly almost periodic functions and almost periodic solutions to dynamic equations on time scales. Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2011, Art. ID 341520, 22 pp.

[15] Raffoul, Youssef N. Necessary and sufficient conditions for stability of Volterra integro-dynamic equation on time scales. Arch. Math. (Brno) 52 (2016), no. 1, 21-33.

[16] Saker, S. H.; O'Regan, Donal; Agarwal, Ravi P. Some new dynamic inequalities on discrete time scales. Dynam. Systems Appl. 24 (2015), no. 1-2, 113-128.

[17] Saker, S. H.; Agarwal, R. P.; O'Regan, Donal. Higher order dynamic inequalities on time scales. Math. Inequal. Appl. 17 (2014), no. 2, 461-472.

[18] Xia, Yong-Hui; Li, Jibin; Wong, Patricia J. Y. On the topological classification of dynamic equations on time scales. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 14 (2013), no. 6, 2231-2248.

[19] Xia, Yonghui; Cao, Jinde; Han, Maoan. A new analytical method for the linearization of dynamic equation on measure chains. J. Differential Equations 235 (2007), no. 2, 527-543.